

U.S. Appln. No. 09/899,767
Response and Amendment dated November 16, 2004
Reply to Advisory Action of November 9, 2004
Page 4 of 4

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

REMARKS

The Final Office Action of September 14, 2004 in paragraph 13 states that Claims 5 and 11 were objected to as being dependent on a rejected base claim but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Therefore, in accordance with the Final Office Action, the limitations of Claim 5 and intervening claim 4 have been incorporated into Claim 1, thereby effectively rewriting claim 5 in independent form. Claims 4 and 5 are cancelled. Claims 2-3, 6-12 and 33-34 depend from now allowable Claim 1.

Dependent Claim 11 has been cancelled and new independent Claim 35 was added in accordance with the Final Office action stating that Claim 11 would be allowable if rewritten in independent form combining all the limitations of the June 3, 2004 version of Claims 1 and 11. New Claim 35 contains all the limitations of the June 3, 2004 version of Claims 1 and 11.

Accordingly, in view of the above amendments and remarks, this application is now believed to be in a condition for an allowance of all pending claims and such action is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,



Maryann Maas
Attorney for Applicants
Reg. No. 38,954
(847) 391-2137 (phone)
(847) 391-2387 (fax)